# Theoretical study of acid-catalyzed hydration of ground and excited state acetylenes

<u>Keith Yates</u>, Peter Martin and I.G. Csizmadia Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A1 Canada

 $\underline{Abstract}$  - The proton affinities (PA), energies of protonation by hydronium ion (EpT), and energies of hydration (EH) of acetylene and several substituted acetylenes have been investigated by means of LCAO-MO-SCF calculations, mainly at the 3-21G level. Calculations were performed on the ground and low lying excited states of each species, and in the case of substituted acetylenes, the results of Markovnikov  $(\underline{M})$  and anti-Markovnikov  $(\underline{AM})$  protonation and hydration were compared. The calculated PA values show that the excited state cations are all significantly red shifted compared with the ground state cations, indicating strongly enhanced basicity of the acetylene group on electronic excitation. The results lead to the conclusion that hydration of both the lowest singlet and low lying triplet states should be much more facile than the corresponding ground state reactions, in accord with experimental observation on analogous systems. The results also lead to the conclusion that the  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  reactions should both be strongly regioselective in either the  $\underline{M}$  or  $\underline{AM}$  sense, depending on the nature of the substituent, but that the  $T_2$  reactions should show little preference for either mode of addition, independent of substitution. The geometries and electronic distribution of the various excited species will be discussed, and the theoretical results will be compared with available experimental data on the rate profiles for this type of photohydration.

## INTRODUCTION

The photohydrations of aryl-substitued acetylenes,  $^1$  ethylenes  $^1$  and alkenes  $^2$  have been investigated experimentally over a range of aqueous acid solutions. The reactions are generally acid-catalyzed and facile in most cases, with maximum quantum yields in the  $\Phi$  = 0.6 - 1.0 range. The rate constants for proton-transfer to the excited states have been measured for a variety of substrates and are in the  $10^6$  -  $10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> range, which represents a rate enhancement over the ground state analogues of  $10^{11} - 10^{14}$ . In the majority of cases the reactions take place via the lowest singlet state (S<sub>1</sub>) and are regiospecific in the M sense, as are the thermal reactions. In a few special cases, the analogous triplet state (T<sub>1</sub>) reactions are also regiospecific, but in the AM sense. Estimates of the ground and excited state basicities of the type of substrate indicate a pK shift ( $\Delta p$ K = pK\*  $\sim p$ K°) on electronic excitation of at least 10 logarithms units, which is believed to be primarily responsible for the greatly increased photochemical versus thermal reactivity of these systems.

Previous LCAO-MO-SCF calculations<sup>3</sup> on acetylere itself (in its S. and S. states) have indicated that the properties of greatly enhanced excited state basicity and reactivity are not uniquely characteristic of the aryl substituent in the systems investigated experimentally. It was therefore decided to extend the theoretical investigation to substituted acetylenes Y-C=C-H (where Y = CH<sub>3</sub>, H, CF<sub>3</sub>, F, Cl, -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) to determine the effects of both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups on the proton affinity, reactivity and regioselectivity in the hydration reactions of the ground and low lying excited states of these systems.

#### **COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

<u>Ab initio</u> LCAO-MO-SCF calculations were performed utilizing the program MONSTERGAUSS<sup>4</sup> on SEL 32/97 minicomputer. Basis sets used were the minimal STO-3G<sup>5</sup>, split valent 3-21G<sup>6</sup> and split valent 6-31G<sup>\*\*7</sup> with double polarization. The RHF method was used exclusively for both open and closed shell systems. The geometries of S<sub>0</sub> and all excited states (S<sub>n</sub> or T<sub>n</sub>) under consideration where energy optimized, excluding the 6-31G<sup>\*\*</sup> calculations, which were carried out on best 3-21G geometries. All gradient optimizations were terminated when the gradient length (g) was reduced to below 5x10<sup>-4</sup> mdyne.

## RESULTS

The optimized geometries of the S<sub>0</sub> (linear) and S<sub>1</sub> (trans-bent) states at the 3-21G level compared favourably with available experimental values for the C-H and C-C bond lengths, although the CCH bond angles for S<sub>1</sub> (125-130°) were somewhat large than that based on spectroscopic analysis (120.2°) of acetylene itself. The geometry of T<sub>1</sub> was found to be <u>cis</u>-bent, in agreement with expectations based on the Renner-Teller effect, although no experimental data are available on these states. By replacing the canonical MO's with localized hybrid orbitals, the S<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> states can be represented pictorially as shown below, where the



carbons are "sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized". This leads to the qualitative conclusion that  $S_1$  can be regarded as a polarized (or polarizable) species which should show regioselectivity depending on the nature of Y whereas  $T_1$  can be regarded as a vinyl biradical and would not be expected to show marked regioselectivity.

However in considering the energetics of proton transfer only those processes occurring between directly correlating states should really be considered, as illustrated below for acetylene.

This means that the energetics of proton transfer to triplet states can involve states higher than  $T_1$ , although the cation produced is the lowest triplet state in every case.

The overall results show that the excited states of cations of <u>both</u> <u>M</u> and <u>AM</u> types are significant red-shifted relative to those of the parent acetylenes, therefore substituted acetylenes should show enhanced basicity on electronic excitation. Also with respect both regiocentres (<u>M</u> or <u>AM</u>) and all three states (S<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> or S<sub>1</sub>) the calculated proton affinities are in the order  $PA(CF_3C=CH) < PA(HC=CH) < PA(CH_3C=CH)$ .

However, a change in regioselectivity  $(\underline{M} \neq \underline{AM})$  is experienced in going from CH<sub>3</sub>- to CF<sub>3</sub>substitution for both singlet states (S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>), whereas the triplet states, irrespective of substituent, exhibit no significant regioselectivity. These conclusions also apply to other substituents (Y = F, Cl, -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) as will be shown graphically by plotting calculated PA (M) values versus PA (AM) values for all systems investigated(see Figure 1).

In terms of the overall process of hydration,

 $S_{0,1}$  +  $H_3O^* \rightarrow S_{0,1}H^*$  +  $H_2O \rightarrow S_{0,1}-OH_2^*$  $T_{0,2}$  +  $H_3O^* \rightarrow T_{0,1}H^*$  +  $H_2O \rightarrow T_{0,1}-OH_2^*$ 

the S<sub>0</sub> reaction must involve a high activation barrier in the rate determining step since the first step is significantly endothermic for all Y-C=CH. However both types of excited state hydrations are exothermic in the first step, and presumably would therefore have much lower activation barriers. This is particularly evident for the S<sub>1</sub> reactions. These theoretical conclusions based on simple acetylene models are in general accord with all experimental evidence to date on the reactivities of S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> in aryl-substituted acetylenes and hence provide a rationale for the greatly enhanced photochemical reactivity observed in these and related aromatic systems.



Figure 1. Correlation diagram of RHF-SCF 3-21G proton affinities (PA) for XCCH resulting in Markownikoff (M) and anti-Markownikoff (A) protonation products.

# REFERENCES

- P. Wan, S. Culshaw and K. Yates, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1982), <u>104</u>, 2509.
  P. Wan, and K. Yates, J. Org. Chem. (1983), <u>48</u>, 869.
  K. Rafizadeh and K. Yates, J. Org. Chem. (1984), <u>49</u>, 1500.
  P. Martin, K. Yates and I.G. Csizmadia, Theoret. Chim. Acta. (1983), <u>64</u>, 117.
- 4. M.R. Peterson and R.A. Poirier, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto M5S 1A1, Canada.

- W.J. Hehre, R.F. Stewart and J.A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys. (1980), <u>51</u>, 2657.
  J.A. Binkley, J.A. Pople and W.J. Hehre, J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1980), <u>102</u>, 939.
  P.C. Hariharan and J.C. Pople, Theoret. Chim. Acta, (Berl.) (1973), <u>28</u>, 213.