Chemistry of crown thioether complexes of lowvalent second-row transition metals

Toshikatsu Yoshida,* Tomohiro Adachi, and Tatsuo Ueda

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Integarted Arts and Sciences, University of Osaka Prefecture, Sakai, Osaka 591, Japan

<u>Abstract</u> - Preparation, structures, and reactions of 14- and 16-membered quadridentate crown thioethers complexes, trans-Mo(N₂)₂Meg[16]aneS₄, cisand trans-RuCl₂L, and RhL⁺ (L=R4[14]aneS₄, Rg[16]aneS₄; R=H, Me) are described emphasizing the novel features of crown thioethers vs. phosphines. The most prominent electronic property of the crown thioethers is p_π donor ability. The factors determining the reactivities of these complexes such as ring size and conformational effects of the macrocycles and geometrical effect of the complexes are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

In contrast to the vast coordination chemistry of phosphines, thioethers have been ignored long as a principal ligand in low-valent transition metal complexes probably due to poor π -acceptor ability (ref.1). This inherent property may be modified for polydentate crown thioethers by macrocyclic effect. In fact, the enormously high reduction potentials found for the Cu(II), Co(III), and Mo(II) complexes (ref. 2-4) suggest that crown thioethers exhibit a strong preference for the lower oxidation states of metal ion. In addition, crown thioethers are unique ligand capable of stabilizing rather unusual oxidation states of metals and/or structures of the complexes, e.g., RhL2²⁺ and PdL2³⁺ (L=[9]aneS3) (ref. 5,6). These salient features may promise the rich chemistry of crown thioether complexes of transition metals, particularly in low-oxidation states. Here we present preparation, structures, and reactions of Mo(0), Rh(I), and Ru(II) complexes of quadridentate crown thioethers shown below. Our work has been focused on elucidating the possible analogy and difference between the coordination chemistry of crown thioethers.



PREPARATION AND STRUCTURES OF Mo(0), Rh(I), AND Ru(II) COMPLEXES

Despite involvement of sulfur ligands in the nitrogenase cofactor, molybdenum dinitrogen complexes containing S ligand only are completely unknown. We have prepared the first example of such Mo(0) dinitrogen complex, trans- $Mo(N_2)_2Me_8[16]$ -

aneS4 (1), by reducing the corresponding dibromide with Na/Hg under N₂ (ref. 7). The choice of 16membered crown thioether is crucial for the preparation of N₂ complex since attempts to prepare the Me4[14]aneS4 and Me6[15]aneS4 analogues were fruitless. Molecular structure of 1 is shown in Fig. 1. Comparison of Mo-N distances (1.991(5), 2.002(5) Å), $v(N\equiv N)$ (1995m, 1890s cm⁻¹), and Mo(0/I) oxidation potential (-0.52 V vs. SCE) of 1 with the respective values (2.015(5) Å; 2020, 1970 cm⁻¹; -0.16 V vs. SCE) of trans-Mo(N₂)₂(dppe)₂ (ref. 8,9) indicates that 1 is more electron rich than the diphosphine analogue.

Homoleptic thioether complexes of Rh(I), {RhR4-[14]aneS4}Cl (2, R=H; 3, R=Me), {RhR8[16]aneS4}Cl (4, R=H; 5, R=Me), and {Rh(Me2-2,3,2-S4}Cl (6)



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of 1.

were obtained by treating $\{RhCl(C_8H_{14})_{2}\}_{2}$ or $\{RhCl(cod)\}_{2}$ with the corresponding thioethers. (ref. 10). Due to the small cavity, an attempt to prepare the [12] aneS4 analogue failed. The complex 2 was originally prepared by D.H. Busch et al. through reduction of cis- $\{RhCl_{2}[14]$ ane-S4 $\}$ Cl with NaBH4 (ref. 11). 2 crystallizes as a mixture of reddish brown dimer (2a) and a trace of yellow monomer (2b) (Fig. 2). The dimer is composed from two monomer units jointed through a Rh…Rh (3.313(1) Å) and four Rh…S (3.69(1)-3.82(1) Å) non-bonded interactions. The structures of 2b and the monomer units in 2a are essentially similar except the deviation of the Mo atom from the 4S plane (0.1332(2) Å for 2a and 0.083(2) for 2b). When the all-up conformer 3 was treated with NaBPh4 in MeCN at room temperature, a facTle isomerization took place to give an up-up-down-down conformer, trans- $\{RhMe4[14]aneS4\}BPh4$ (7). Both conformers are stable up to 100° C in DMSO, while the conformation of Rg[16]aneS4 in 4 and 5 is non-rigid at 30° C and rapid equilibria exist between four possible conformers.



Fig. 2. Molecular structures of the cation of 2.

Reaction of RuCl₂(PPh₃)₃ with R₄[14]aneS₄ and Meg[16]aneS₄ in toluene (60° C) gave cis-RuCl₂-R₄[14]aneS₄ (8, R=H; 9, R=Me) and cis-RuCl₂Meg[16]aneS₄ (10), respectively (ref. 12). cis-Stereochemistry of 8 has been confirmed (ref. 13). On heating in PhCl 9 isomerized into the trans-isomer (11) with the all-up conformation. A similar reaction of Me₄[14]aneS₄ with Ru-H(Cl)(PPh₃)₃ gave RuH(Cl)(PPh₃)₂Me₄[14]aneS₄ (12) where the thioether coordinates as a bidentate ligand forming a RuSCH₂CH₂S five-membered ring. The phosphine ligands in 12 show no tendency to dissociate in toluene (80° C). In MeOH, however, both PPh₃ and Cl⁻ Tigands dissociated readily at room temperature affording {RuH(PPh₃)Me₄[14]aneS₄}Cl (13) in which Me₄[14]aneS₄ adopts a folded conformation. Under similar conditions, Meg[16]aneS₄ failed to react with RuH(Cl)(PPh₃)₃ (ref. 14).

ELECTRONIC FEATURES OF CROWN THIOETHERS

Molecular structures of 1, 2a, and 2b reveal several features; 1) all-up conformation of the crown thioethers, 2) very short $Mo(\tilde{0})$ -S (2.418(2)-2.427(2) Å) and Rh-S distances (2.247(3)-2.285(4) Å) compared to the corresponding lengths (2.48-2.56 and 2.29-2.50 Å) found for monodentate thiolato and thioether complexes so far, and 3) a displacement of the metal ion from the 4S plane by ca. 0.1 Å. How these structural features do affect the electronic structure of the metal ion. of the metal ion. At first, a rationale for the electron richness of 1 compared to the phosphine analogue has been sought by EHMO calculations on the models, $MO(SH_2)_4$ and trans- $MO(N_2)_2(SH_2)_4$ (D4h) and the corresponding PH3 analogues (C4y) (ref. 7). The coordinated thioethers differ from the phosphines in exhibiting p_{π} donor ability. An antibonding $p_{\pi}(S)-d_{XZ}(d_{YZ})(Mo)$ interaction destabilizes the e_g orbitals (HOMO) of Mo(SH₂)4, which are 0.78 eV higher The eg orbitals (nono) of Mo(Sh2)4, which are 0.78 eV higher in energy than the corresponding e orbitals of Mo(PH₃)4. Consequently, a stronger $d_{\pi}(M_0) - p_{\pi}^*(N_2)$ back-bonding is expected for trans-Mo(N₂)₂(SH₂)4. Indeed, the overlap population of Mo-N π -bonding (0.160) assessed for the SH₂ complex is larger than that (0.133) of the PH₃ analogue, while the populations of σ -bonding for the former (0.444) and the latter (0.437) are comparable. On distortion of trans-Mo(N₂)₂(SH₂)₄, symmetry to the observed 130 trans-Mo(N₂)₂(SH₂)₄ from D_{4h} symmetry to the observed structure of 1 (C_{4v}), the σ - (0.454) and π -overlap populations (0.169) of the N₂ ligand located on the same side to the Mo atom with respect to the 4S plane increase, while the respective values (0.432 and 0.129) on the Fig. 3. Molecular opposite side decrease. This stereoelectronic effect due to the Mo atom deviation is most clearly demonstrated by the molecular structure of trans-Mo(PhNC) $_2\text{Meg}[16]$ structure of 14 aneS₄ (14) prepared from 1 and PhNC (Fig. 3) (ref. 14).

The PhNC molecule coordinated on the same side to the ring C atoms of the macrocycle with respect to the 4S plane bends extensively, while that on the opposite side is essentially linear; the angles at the N(20) and N(30) atoms are 139 and 167°, respectively and the deviation of the Mo atom from the 4S plane towards the ring C atoms is 0.11 Å.

Next, the ring size effect upon the nucleophilicity of the Rh(I) complexes was examined by molecular mechanics and EHMO calculations (ref. 14). The calculated molecular structure of 2b agrees well with the observed one (Fig. 2). The strain-free Rh-S distance (2.305 Å) thus assessed is much longer than that found for 2b (average 2.264 Å). The e (d_{XZ}, d_{YZ}) orbitals of the model Rh(SH₂)₄⁺ (C₄v) are again 0.34 eV unstable compared to those of Rh(PH₃)₄⁺ (C₄v). The e orbitals are further destabilized by the shrinkage of Rh-S bond and by the increment of pyramidal angle between C₄ axis and Rh-S vector (Table 1). By contrast, the a₁ (d_z2) orbital is stabilized by such deformations. Thus, π -basicity of the Rh(I) ion is expected to be enhanced on decreasing the ring size as the result of compression of the Rh-S bond and concomitant out-of-plane deviation of the Rh atom.

TA	ABLE 1. Energy	Levels of a _l and	e orbitals i	n RhL4
1		pyramidal	energy 1	evel (eV)
L	RN-E (A)	angle (deg.)	^a]	e
sн ₂	2.305	90.0	-12.512	-12.585
	2.264	90.0	-12.514	-12.555
	2.264	92.1	-12.531	-12.526
PH ₃	2.306	90.0	-12.590	-12.922

REACTIONS OF Mo(0), Rh(I), AND Ru(II) COMPLEXES

Electron richness of 1 was manifested by the ready N,N-dimethylation with MeBr under ambient conditions to give trans- $\{MOBr(N_2Me_2)Me_8[16]aneS_4\}Br(15)$ (ref. 7). A similar dimethylation of trans- $MO(N_2)_2(depe)_2$ with MeBr has been shown to require photo-irradiation (ref. 15a). Unprecedented N-arylation and -benzylation of the coordinated N₂ molecule of 1 with the corre-

sponding organic halides also proceed readily under ambient conditions to give trans-MOX(N₂Ar)Me₈[16]aneS₄ (16, Ar=Ph, X=Br; 17, Ar=Ph, X=I; 18, Ar= p-C₆H₄CO₂Me, X=I) and trans-{MOBr[N₂(CH₂Ph)₂]Me₈-[16]aneS₄ Br (19), respectively (ref. 16). Formation of the aryldiazenido complexes was confirmed by transforming into trans-{MOI(N₂MePh)Me₈[16]ane-S₄ JI (20) for 17 and by a single crystal X-ray structural study for 18 (Fig. 4). Surprisingly, a reaction of 1 with MeI in toluene under ambient conditions gave trans-{MOI[N₂Me(CH₂Ph)]Me₈[16]ane-S₄ JI (21) together with an expected trans-{MOI-(N₂Me₂)Me₈[16]aneS₄JI (22) in a 1:3 ratio. This suggests that N-benzylation proceeds through a radical process similar to that confirmed for Nalkylation of trans-M(N₂)₂(dppe)₂ (M=Mo, W) by alkyl halides (ref. 15b). The enhanced reactivity of the coordinated N₂ molecule in 1 toward such a stable radical PhCH₂· is rather remarkable since a reaction of the dppe analogue with PhCH₂Br gave trans-MoBr₂(dppe)₂ and bibenzyl (ref. 15b).



Fig. 4. Molecular structure of 18.

The homoleptic thioether complexes of Rh(I) described here added CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature to give trans-{RhCl(CH₂Cl)L}⁺ (23) (ref. 10). The high reactivity of these thioether complexes contrasts to the inertness of RhCl(PPh₃)₃ and [Rh(t-BuNC)₄]⁺ toward CH₂Cl₂. The rate of oxidative addition of CH₂Cl₂ increases in the order 2>4>6, the relative rate being 5.4, 2.6, and 1.0, respectively. The order is consistent with that of increasing π -basicity of the Rh(I) ion (vide supra). The rate is also affected by the conformation of crown thioether; the second order rate constants for the all-up (3) and up-up-down-down conformers (7) are 7.4 x 10⁻³ and 1.1 x 10⁻³ M⁻¹min⁻¹ (DMSO, 25° C), respectively. For the up-up-down-down conformer with inversion symmetry, the Rh atom should be exactly in the 4S plane and then, π -basicity of the Rh(I) ion is expected to be lower than that of the all-up conformer.

A remarkable difference was observed for the reaction of two geometrical isomers of octahedral Ru(II) complexes of R4[14]aneS4 with alkylating reagents in aromatic hydrocarbons (ref. 12). Treatment of cis-isomer 9 with Al2Me6 or MeMgBr in toluene (-20° C) gave trans-RuCl(C6H4Me)-Me4[14]aneS4 (24) as the all-up conformer. This is rather unexpected since a reaction of RuCl2(PPh3)3 with Al2Me6 in benzene afforded RuCl(Me)(PPh3)3 (ref. 17). The generality of extremely facile intermolecular C(sp²)-H bond activation was confirmed by the formation of trans-RuCl(Ar)[14]aneS4 (25, Ar=C6H4Me; 26, Ar=Ph) from the reaction of 8 with Al2Me6 in the

By contrast, a similar reaction of trans-isomer 11 with respective aromatic hydrocarbons. Al2Me6 in toluene gave trans-RuCl(Me)Me4[14]aneS4 (27) as the sole isolable product.

Reaction of cis-RuCl₂L 9 and 10 with NaBH₄ is severely affected by the ring size of crown thioethers (ref. 14). Treatment of 9 with an excess of NaBH₄ gave trans-RuH(Cl)Me₄[14]aneS₄ thioethers (ref. 14). Treatment of 9 with an excess of NaBH4 gave trans-RuH(C1)Me4[14]aneS4 (28) and a bridging hydrido binuclear complex {Ru₂H(C1)(μ -H)[Me4[14]aneS4]₂}C1 (29). The molecular structures of both complexes were elucidated by a single crystal X-ray structural study (Fig. 5). The positions of hydrido ligands in 29 were deduced from the ¹H NMR datum (δ -20.8(d), -33.3(d); J(H-H)=14.9 Hz). The complex 29 may be produced through a nucleophilic attack of the hydrido ligand of 28 to a five coordinate intermediate {RuHMe4[14]aneS4]⁺ formed by a dissociation of Cl⁻ in 28. A similar reaction of 10 with an equi-molar amount of NaBH4 gave trans-RuH(C1)Me8[16]aneS4 (30), which further reacts with BH4⁻ affording trans-RuH(n¹-BH4)Me8[16]aneS4 (31). A rather rare monodentate coordination of BH4⁻ liagnd (Fig. 5) was confirmed by a longer B···Ru non-bonded distance (2.89(2) Å) than that (2.3 Å) estimated for RuH0⁻-BH4)(PMe2)₂ (ref. 18). Due to a weak trans-influence of the hydrido ligand (μ Pu-H RuH(n²-BH₄)(PMe₃)₃ (ref. 18). Due to a weak trans-influence of the hydrido ligand (vRu-H 1860 cm⁻¹) of 30 compared to that (vRu-H 1958 cm⁻¹) of 28, 30 shows no tendency to dissociate the coordinated C1⁻ ligand and does not afford the Me₈[16]aneS₄ analogue of 29.



Fig. 5. Molecular structures of Ru(II) hydrido complexes.

REFERENCES

- 1. S.M. Murray and F.R. Hartley, <u>Chem. Rev.</u>, <u>81</u>, 365(1981).
- T.E. Johnes, D.B. Rorabacher, and L.A. Ochrymowycz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>98</u>, 4322(1976).
 J.R. Hartman, E.J. Hinsta, and S.R. Cooper, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>108</u>, 1208(1986). H.-J. Kupper, A. Neves, C. Pomp, D. Ventur, K. Wieghardt, B. Nuber, and J. Weiss, <u>Inorg. Chem.</u>,
- 25, 2400(1986).
 4. T. Yoshida, T. Adachi, T. Ueda, M. Watanabe, M. Kaminaka, and T. Higuchi, <u>Angew. Chem</u>. Int. Ed. Engl., 26, 1182(1987). 5. S.C. Rawle, R. Yagbasa, K. Prout, S.R. Cooper, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>109</u>, 6181(1987). 6. A.J. Blake, A.J. Holder, T.I. Hyde, and M. Schroder, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun</u>., 987
- (1987).
- 7. T. Yoshida, T. Adachi, M. Kaminaka, T. Ueda, and T. Higuchi, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>110</u>. 4872(1988).

- T. Uchida, Y. Uchida, M. Hidai, and T. Kodama, <u>Acta. Cryst.</u>, <u>B31</u>, 1179(1975).
 T.I. Al-Salih and C.J. Pickett, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans</u>., 1255(1985).
 T. Yoshida, T. Ueda, T. Adachi, K. Yamamoto, and T. Higuchi, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun</u>., 1137(1985).
- W.D. Lemke, K.E. Travis, N.E. Takvoyan, and D.H. Busch, <u>Adv. Chem. Ser.</u>, <u>150</u>, 358(1976).
 T. Ueda, H. Yamanaka, T. Adachi, and T. Yoshida, <u>Chem. Lett.</u>, <u>525(1988)</u>.

- T.H. Lai and C.K. Poon, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans</u>., 1465(1982).
 T. Yoshida et al., to be published.
 a) W. Hussain, G.J. Leigh, H.M. Ali, and C.J. Pickett, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans</u>. 553 (1900). 07 0. Unatt, A.A. Diamantis, G.A. Heath, N.E. Hooper, and G.J. Leigh, <u>J. Chem. Son Dalton Trans.</u>, 688(1977).
 16. T. Yoshida, T. Adachi, T. Ueda, M. Kaminaka, N. Sasaki, T. Higuchi, T. Aoshima, I. Mega, Y. Mizobe, and M. Hidai, <u>Angew. Chem.</u>, in press.
 17. R.A. Porter and D.F. Shriver, <u>J. Organometal. Chem.</u>, <u>90</u>, 41(1975).
 18. J.A. Statler, G. Wilkinson, M.T.-Pett, and M.B. Hursthouse, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.</u>, 1731 (1984). (1988). b) J. Chatt, A.A. Diamantis, G.A. Heath, N.E. Hooper, and G.J. Leigh, J. Chem. Soc.